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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Most medical treatments have been designed for the “average patients.” As a result of this “one-size-fits-all-approach,” treatments can be very successful for some patients but not for others. The issue is shifted by the new innovation approach in diseases treatment and prevention, precision medicine, which takes into account individual differences in people’s genes, environments, and lifestyles. This review was aimed to describe a new approach of healthcare performance strategy based on individual genetic variants.

CONTENT: Researchers have discovered hundreds of genes that harbor variations contributing to human illness, identified genetic variability in patients’ responses to different of treatments, and from there begun to target the genes as molecular causes of diseases. In addition, scientists are developing and using diagnostic tests based on genetics or other molecular mechanisms to better predict patients’ responses to targeted therapy.

SUMMARY: Personalized medicine seeks to use advances in knowledge about genetic factors and biological mechanisms of disease coupled with unique considerations of an individual’s patient care needs to make healthcare more safe and effective. As a result of these contributions to improvement in the quality of care, personalized medicine represents a key strategy of healthcare reform.
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Introduction

Since the genome project was conducted, we know that every individual has his/her own unique variations of the human genome, due to the combination of genetic variations and environment influence. Not all of those genome variations affect their state of health, but they could manifest in different individual responses to drugs treatment. Senior government officials, industry leadership, health care providers, followed by the public within the last decade, have testimony a steady embrace of genomic and personalized medicine. In genomic medicine, information from genomes and their derivatives (RNA, proteins, and metabolites) is used to guide medical decision making. Genomic medicine is a key component of personalized medicine, which is a rapidly advancing field of health care based on each person’s unique clinical, genetic, genomic, and environmental information.(1)

Along the continuum from health to disease, genome information can provide DNA-based assessment for common complex disease, molecular indication for cancer diagnosis and prognosis, genome-guided therapy, dose selection, and much more for personal health care. This is moving fast in technological development, social and information revolution which will affect the health care way of thinking.(1) In simple word, genomic medicine is using information from genomes, either human or other organisms, and their derivatives to guide the medical decision making. Furthermore, it is now possible to examine a person’s entire genome (or a fraction of it as you need) to assess
Personalized medicine is a broad and rapidly advancing field of health care that is informed by each person’s unique clinical, genetic, genomic, and environmental information. Health care with personal medicine encircled could integrate and coordinate the evidence-based approach for patient care individually from health to disease. Personalized medicine needs multidisciplinary health care teams to reach its goal of promoting health and wellness, patients education and satisfaction, also disease prevention, diagnose and treatment. By genomic medicine, personalized medicine could be understanding molecular pathways of disease, therefore optimal health care strategies could be established in the earliest stage, and optimal medical care could be reached for better outcomes for each individual, to include treatments, medication types and dosages, and/or prevention strategies may differ from person to person, resulting in an unprecedented customization of patient care.(1) Personalized medicine, also referred to as individualized or precision medicine, is the practice of tailoring medical treatment to the individual characteristics of each patient.(2,3) Both physicians and patients highly expecting this enormous potential personalized medicine to give better clinical outcomes by moving away from a one-size-fits-all approach to a treatment strategy that are most likely to benefit each individual.(4)

Building The Foundation for Genomic in Personalized Medicine

On January 30, 2015, US President, Obama, announced funding for an Initiative in Precision Medicine (1) less than 3 years after a National Academy of Sciences committee report (2) made clear just how such an initiative could accelerate progress in medical care and research. By understanding precisely, what the distinguishing features of specific subgroups of patients are, we can better individualize therapies. This led to rapid improvement in technology that drives genetic discovery in human disease. We now can monitor our personal health and environment easier than ever, just using wearable activity trackers to metagenomic sequencing and direct-to-consumer genetic testing.

Human physiology is complex. There are some cases where the patient’s symptoms cannot be ascertained, or the clinicians cannot gather enough data to decide, and these led to a guesswork inherent in the practice, that reduces the treatment strategies. The important contributor to this complexity is genetic. Though showing a similar set of symptoms, distinct genetic variants cause different respond to treatments. Without a mechanism to determine the underlying genetic cause of a set of symptoms, it might not be possible to determine which treatment will be most effective a priori.(7) Even when you know the cause of a condition, variants of unrelated genetic can affect treatment efficacy by altering the drugs pharmacokinetic. For example, patients with some genetic variants who are treated with traditional doses of azathioprine, an immunosuppressive drug for an extended period were known at risk of developing life-threatening myelosuppression because the genetic variant prevent the drug from being properly metabolized.(8)

The goal of precision medicine is to enable clinicians to quickly, efficiently and accurately predict the most appropriate course of action for a patient. To achieve this, clinicians are given tools, in the form of tests and information-technology support, that are both compatible with their clinical workflow and economically feasible to deploy in the modern health-care environment. These tools help to simplify the process of managing the extreme biological complexity that underlies human disease. Then, a precision-medicine ‘ecosystem’ that link clinicians, laboratories, research enterprises and clinical-information-system developers together in new ways was developed to support the creation and clarification of these tools (Figure 1). These efforts will create a foundation of a continuously learning health-care system which was hope to accelerate the advance of precision-medicine techniques.(7)

Clinical laboratories with their information systems facilitate interpretations consolidation into reports and alerts, and the results applied with the help of Electronic Health Records (EHR) and associated systems, both when they are received and as the patient’s condition and knowledge of the variants evolve. Patient-facing infrastructure or ‘portals’ provide individuals with access to their genetic data and, if appropriate, the ability to decide how they should be used, including whether to participate in research. At present, much of this infrastructure is at a very early stage of development. However, the infrastructural foundation for precision medicine is beginning to emerge.

Patients’ role in supporting precision medicine also important. The precision medicine can be tailored to the
unique genetic make-up of each patient, by gathering as much information as possible from individual patients. Clinicians usually take a role in patients’ treatment order such tests for them. Patients are obtaining an enlarge number of genetic results in the course of their care, and now they even can access direct-to-consumer testing, or through the help of someone who is not directly involved in their care.

Clinicians gain access to patients’ genetic information through tests. Tests have two components: a technical component that focuses on identifying which variants are present in the patient; and an interpretive component in which the implications of identified variants are assessed. In most scenarios, genetic testing is performed to determine either the cause of a specific indication or the most appropriate treatment. Genome and exome sequences are possible to be obtained and stored, to be reused for multiple assessments perform over time even before disease manifests so that they can be interpreted and reinterpreted as indication arise.

EHRs are well positioned to be the apex of genetic information-technology support. They should serve as the clinician’s gateway to all of the patient’s information, including any genetic data. Information should be organized and displayed in a way that integrates with the clinician’s workflow and facilitates diagnostic and treatment decisions. EHR and related systems can also provide clinicians with electronic clinical-decision support that provides extra information about a genetic test or result through an e-resource or InfoButton that links to electronic resources such as websites or databases. They can also issue pre-test and post-test pharmacogenomic warnings that highlight potentially adverse interactions between drugs and specific genetic variants. Pre-test will be suggested when a clinician is going to take an action that needs a genetic assessment information, but the patient’s has no record of this assessment. Post-test alerts will be suggested when a clinician is going to take an action which is contraindicated with the patient’s genetic profile.

Clinical laboratories as the core of interpretative process provide either the evidence for individual variants and the case-level report with all potentially relevant variants in the context of the patient’s presentation. New variants often found while performing genome sequencing, which must then be assessed. Many established variants also need to be assessed as a new knowledge rise. Laboratories and clinicians share variant- and gene-level assessment to increase the quality and efficiency of the variant assessment process. The ClinGen program is building an authoritative central resource for use in precision medicine.
and research that defines the clinical relevance of genomic variants.

Several databases have been launched that share case-level data across broad disease areas. The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)’s database of Genotypes and Phenotypes places minimal restrictions on the types of case data that can be submitted and therefore serves as a generalized repository.(17) The International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) have each set up large repositories of somatic cancer sequencing data.(18,19) The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) is looking to incorporate the tracking of patient outcomes to enable a learning health care system in its CancerLinQ platform.(20)

One of the great challenges for 21st century medicine is to deliver effective therapies that are tailored to the exact biology or biological state of an individual to enable so-called ‘personalized healthcare solutions.’ Ideally, before the therapy started, this would involve a patient evaluating system that provides clinicians about the individual’s correct drug and dose, or intervention. This evaluation concept approached on patient stratification, commonly according to some genetic features, be sub-classified to bio-features modeled in relation to the outcome. This stratification will be applied for personal therapy with a drug safety and efficacy model, as well as general healthcare involving optimized nutrition and lifestyle management.

Systems biology provides us with a common language for both describing and modeling the integrated action of regulatory networks at many levels of biological organization from the subcellular through cell, tissue and organ right up to the whole organism. The relatively new science of molecular epidemiology concerns the measurement of the fundamental biochemical factors that underlie population disease demography and understanding ‘the health of nations’ and this subject naturally lends it to systems biology approaches. Thus, personalized medicine and molecular epidemiological studies are certain to have a major role in future development of systems biology (Figure 2).(21)

Genetic variants predicted to severely disrupt protein-coding genes, collectively known as loss-of-function (LoF) variants, are of considerable scientific and clinical interest.(22) Proteins form the structural fabric of cells and underpin all metabolic processes and regulatory mechanisms. Protein properties, including abundance levels, protein-protein interactions, post-translational modifications subcellular localization patterns and protein synthesis and degradation rates, are all highly dynamic and can change rapidly during the course of biological processes, such as cell proliferation, cell migration, endocytosis and development. Therefore, understanding protein structure-function relationships in cell biology not only requires the identification of proteins but also the detailed analysis of the protein properties that constitute the dimensions of the proteome.

Several studies in cellular processes have involved multi-dimensional analysis of protein properties to understanding cell and tissue biology better. Many of these have been aided by developments in mass spectrometry (MS)-based analysis, enabling higher sensitivity and a higher dynamic range of quantification.(23-26) In addition, over the past decade, biochemical and cell biological fractionation, such as chromatography or centrifugation-based separations, have increased in efficiency and resolution. Thus, multiple separations can now more easily be combined for sequential multidimensional proteome analysis (Figure 3).

MS-based proteomics was now developed to enable the multiple properties measurements of thousands proteins, including their abundance, isoform expression, turnover rate, subcellular localization, post-translational modifications and interactions and will be completing with new data analysis,
integration and visualization tools as well as data-sharing resources. Together, these advances in the multidimensional analysis of the proteome are transforming our understanding of various cellular and physiological processes. (27) This will not only be important to magnify our understanding of basic cellular physiology and regulation but also for future advances in medicine and drug development.

Personalized healthcare and molecular epidemiology are thus effectively two sides of the same ‘systems biology coin’; the essential differences are with respect to the type of medical endpoints or outcomes that are to be modeled (Figure 2). Metabolomics offers a practical approach to measuring the metabolic end points that link directly to whole system activity and metabolic profiles are determined by both host genetic and environmental factors. (28) Metabolomics is an emerging field and is broadly defined as the comprehensive measurement of all metabolites and low-molecular-weight molecules in a biological specimen. Because metabolomics affords profiling of much larger numbers of metabolites than are presently covered in standard clinical laboratory techniques, and hence comprehensive coverage of biological processes and metabolic pathways, it holds promise to serve as an essential objective lens in the molecular microscope for precision medicine. (29) Practically, not alike genomic or proteomic methods, metabolomics presents a significant analytical challenge, due to its aim in measuring disparate physical molecule properties (e.g., ranging in polarity from very water soluble organic acids to very nonpolar lipids). (30) Accordingly, comprehensive metabolomic technology platforms typically take the strategy of dividing the metabolome into subsets of metabolites, often based on compound polarity, common functional groups, or structural similarity, and devise specific sample preparation and analytical procedures optimized for each, as illustrated in Figure 4. The metabolome is therefore measured as a patchwork of results from different analytical methods.

Metabolomics evolve rapidly nowadays, aim for an ideal comprehensive measurements of all endogenous metabolites in a cell or body fluid, and providing a functional readout of human body’s physiological state. Hemostasis of key lipids, carbohydrates, or amino acids can change due to the genetic variants. Their involvement directly in metabolic conversion modification are not only expected to display much larger effect sizes, and also expected to provide access to the biochemical context of such variations, in particular when enzyme coding genes are concerned. (31) Now, metabolomics is on the level of technology refinement, and we are still determining what actually constitutes the human metabolome, while the expectation of small molecules finding in the human body exceeds 19,000. (32) This number includes not only metabolites directly linked to endogenous enzymatic activities encoded by the human genome, but also those derived from food, medications, the microbiota.
that inhabit the body, and the environment. Our dependence on diet as a source for nine of the 20 amino acids for which there are codons in the human genome but no endogenous biosynthetic route is an example that highlights why it is important to account for “exogenous” metabolites in our study of the metabolome.(29)

The discovery of specific markers for diseases and drug pharmacodynamics, as well as metabolite profiles, in relation to external environment and disease risk could enhance the potential of precision medicine. Current metabolomics technologies can enable more rapid discovery and validation of metabolic indicators of disease. Techniques used in metabolomics, such as liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), can routinely measure tens to hundreds of metabolites with excellent precision and are suitable for discovery studies in human cohorts. Confidence comes from experience with recent applications to find early metabolic indicators of disease in longitudinal cohorts years before symptoms are clinically apparent, for example, in pancreatic cancer (33), type 2 diabetes (34-36), memory impairment (37), and many other conditions. Many metabolomics studies provide novel view about relationship between diet and diseases, provoke applied work such as observing the association between elevated branched chain amino acids and obesity to insulin resistance.(38) System biology genomic to phenotype is shown by Figure 5.

Human Phenotyping

A phenotypic abnormality is defined in medical settings as a deviation from normal morphology, physiology, or behavior, and good phenotyping is a cornerstone of a doctor’s daily work.(39) Progress in information technologies together with next-generation sequencing (NGS), proteomics, and metabolomics are bringing about a paradigm shift in translation research and clinical care. Physicians and patients in the future will allow accessing a large-scale data to help them stratifying and improving the medical treatments. Provided correct and up-to-date information with sufficiently detailed and accurate phenotypic description will support the best treatment selection.(40,41)

The term “phenotype” used in medical context refers to some deviation from normal morphology, physiology, or behavior. This phenotype analysis plays a key role clinical and medical practice as well as research, but these descriptions in clinical notes or medical publications often were imprecise. Deep phenotyping can be defined

Figure 4. Illustration of the liquid chromatography-MS (LC-MS)-based metabolomics platform used at the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard.(29) (Adapted with permission from Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press). IPA: isopropanol; MeOH: methanol; AcCN: acetonitrile; RP/C8: C8 reversed phase; RP/C18: C8 reversed phase; HILIC: hydrophilic interaction chromatography.
as the precise and comprehensive analysis of phenotypic abnormalities where an individual assessment are performed for detail components of the phenotype observation and description.(42)

The International Standards for Cytogenomic Arrays Consortium has promoted standards for chromosomal microarray analysis and phenotypes and currently collected data on over 28,500 cytogenomic array investigations (43), and is thus one of the first examples of a Human Phenome Project covering a specific area of genetic medicine. The Personal Genome Project was aimed to involve 100,000 informed consent-signed general public participants to share their genome sequence and some personal and phenotypic information. Here, a prototype project involving metabolomic phenotyping coupled to the targeted analysis of a set of genes known to be involved in metabolic disturbances is presented.(44)

Deep phenotyping generally performed in such a way as to be computationally accessible. Using the common biological basis stratification, precision medicine intends to reconcile the best available care into the disease subclasses. These comprehensive discoveries and their translation into clinical care, critically need a computational resource to capture, store and exchange deep phenotypic data. A sophisticated algorithm will be required to integrate this deep phenotype data with genomic variation and additional clinical information.(42)

A “traditional” method of retrieving phenotype data from the medical literature or ERH for computational analysis is text mining. However, the overwhelming majority of clinical descriptions in the medical literature are simply natural language text, and thus automated searching, analysis, and integration of medical information from databases such as PubMed remains challenging.(45) To overcome those limitations, a structured, comprehensive, and well-defined phenotyping terminology is established. The Human Phenotype Ontology (HPO), available at www.human-phenotype-ontology.org, provides a set of more than 11,000 terms describing human phenotypic abnormalities. They describe the concepts of human phenotypes as well as a logical (computational) representation of the interrelationships between the terms.(41)

The rapid growth of sequencing technologies has greatly contributed to our understanding of human genetics. Yet, despite this growth, mainstream technologies have not been fully able to resolve the diploid nature of the human genome, including the method to determine allele-specific methylation patterns in a human genome and identify hundreds of differentially methylated regions that were previously unknown.(46) Besides differential methylation studies, haplotype information has applications in many areas of genomics, including (i) the analysis of disorders affected by compound heterozygosity, such as blistering skin (47), cerebral palsy (48), deafness (49) and others (50); (ii) population genetics, where population-specific haplotype blocks are currently resolved using lower-accuracy statistical methods (51); (iii) the detection of structural variations, which has been shown to benefit from phase information (52); (iv) the matching of hosts and donors in organ transplantation based on the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) region of the genome (53); (v) the evolution of genomes across species (54).
To understand the relationship between genotype and phenotype, we need a haplotype-resolved information for the human genome, because we might find that a different configuration of exactly the same set of variants can sometimes result in different outcomes with regard to phenotype and disease susceptibility.(50) This information has typically been obtained by mapping sequence reads back to the human genome reference (55), and such methods cannot be applied to species for which a reference genome is not available. Advanced NGS technology and numerous bio-informatics techniques (56-58) have been developed and applied to the production and analysis of large-scale human sequence data in many individuals (59-62) and international projects (63-67). However, NGS technology give a short-read format of mixed DNA fragments derived from a pair of diploid chromosomes, and this posing challenges for determining haplotype information.(66)

Several computational and experimental methodologies have been developed to obtain haplotype information, including (i) population-based statistical phasing by integration of unrelated individual data (63,67); (ii) trio-based phasing applying Mendel’s law of inheritance (68); (iii) phasing by direct usage of sequence reads information (59); (iv) experimental phasing that includes the use of various forms of polymerase chain reaction (PCR), atomic force microscopy with carbon nanotubes (69) and hybridization of probes to single DNA molecules (70,71); (v) physical methods involving the initial preparation of haploid genomic material, for which the haplotype origin is distinguishable after sequencing (52,53,72). Stratified medicine could be simply defined as tailoring of medical treatment to the individual characteristics of each patient. It doesn’t mean the drugs or medical devices were created individually for each patient, but rather about classifying patients into a stratified subpopulation based on their uniqueness and their susceptibility (or severity) of a particular disease and their response to a specific treatment. Preventive or therapeutic interventions can then be concentrated on those who will benefit, sparing expense and side effects for those who will not”. It also involves the development, validation and use of companion diagnostics to achieve the best outcomes in the management of a patient’s disease or future prevention.(73)

Exploiting continuing scientific advances in genomics, molecular biology and medical technologies to detect and classify diseases more objectively lies at the heart of stratified medicine. Many reports apply the term “stratification” for describing this molecular sub-classification of disease and disease susceptibility based on both biomarkers and phenotypic descriptions. It was crucial to note that this stratification is not limited to molecular technologies. Actual and future advances in these areas are leading to an increase in the efficiency and precision of drug use, dose selection and diagnostic discovery and development.(73)

In earlier 2015, tech giant Apple announced the launch of its ResearchKit. The ResearchKit is a mobile platform that taps into the iPhone’s 700 million global users to find individuals interested in participating in human research studies. The first five apps included in the kit enable users to enroll in observational studies on Parkinson’s (mPower app), cardiovascular health (MyHeart Counts), breast cancer (Share The Journey), asthma (Asthma Mobile Health) and diabetes (GlucoSuccess). The studies are being run in conjunction with 17 different partner research institutions and foundations, many of which are US-based. ResearchKit is the latest of several ambitious initiatives that seek to harness the convergence of mobile technology, wearable sensors for measuring phenotypic markers, and highly sensitive technologies for measuring genomic, epigenetic, proteomic and metabolic markers in blood, stool and tissue. Ultimately, the harnessing of these technologies with computational platforms to store, share, filter and analyze the data will make it possible to collect markers of health and disease data for entire human populations, opening new possibilities for biomedical research.(74)

Another strength of platforms driven by mobile technology is that they offer the ability to monitor phenotypes in a longitudinal manner. In the case of the mPower app, trial participants could track how a disease affects gait, tremors, mood, cognition, fatigue, speech and sleep on a daily basis. This gives a chance about revealing new informative patterns of markers regarding the disease progression and severity. Sufficient sample sizes was expected to facilitate an adequate statistical power analysis that may enhance our ability to stratify diseases, which are currently defined on the basis of imprecise symptoms/phenotypes, into more finely described subgroups. Indeed, a major aim of the 100K Wellness project (currently scaling up to 1,000 individuals) of the Personal Genome Project, Human Longevity and the Google Baseline Study is to compare the transition of individuals from wellness to disease. This is significant because in many cases we are unclear as to what is meant by a ‘healthy person.’ Finer and more exact categorization of phenotypes will mean that we will have the potential to diagnose disease faster and earlier than before, which in itself is likely to make interventions more effective.(74)
Pharmacogenomics

After decades of exploration, approximately 20 genes of inherited variations have been identified which affect about 80 medications and are actionable in the clinic. Some somatically acquired genetic variants direct the choice of ‘targeted’ anticancer drugs for individual patients. The focus of current efforts for appropriate are shifting from discovery to the implementation of an evidenced-based strategy to improve the use of medications, thereby providing a cornerstone for precision medicine.(75) Pharmacogenomics focuses on the identification of genetic variants that influence drug effects, typically through alterations in pharmacokinetic, that is how the drug is absorbed, distributed, metabolized or eliminated, or pharmacodynamics, by modifying its target or by perturbing the biological pathways that shape a patient’s sensitivity to its pharmacological effects. Most genetic variations either inherited from parents or changed de novo identified in germline DNA, and alter the function of gene products. Differently, in cancer, patient’s response to the treatment was affected by both inherited and somatically acquired variants. In infectious diseases, genetic variation can affect a pathogen’s sensitivity to antimicrobial drugs. Genome interrogation technology for analytical approaches has come to advance, lead to the evolution of a discovery model from gene studies candidate to a new finding of agnostic genome-wide analyses in specific drug-response phenotypes patients population, for example, toxicity or desired pharmacological effects. In fact, the genome interrogation technologies currently are sufficiently robust that makes it harder to define the drug-response phenotype in pharmacogenomics research. Once a pharmacogenomic relationship has been discovered and validated, there are many obstacles to translating it into clinical practice. Such translation requires that effective, alternative therapy is available for those with ‘high-risk’ genotypes, as well as improvements to health care systems, structured approaches to guide prescribing (for example, algorithms), and implementation of point-of-care electronic clinical decision support, to make it feasible to utilize genetics appropriately to guide drug prescribing.(75)

More than 1,200 individual molecular entities have been approved as drugs by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (76), the European Medicines Agency (EMA) (77) or by Japan’s Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA) (78). Although about 15% of the medications approved by the FDA and EMA contain pharmacogenomic information on their label, only a subset of the corresponding pharmacogenes is deemed actionable.(77,79) As summarized, medications have actionable germline pharmacogenetics. These correspond to Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) level A or B gene-drug pairs for which genetic information should or could be used to change the prescription pattern of the relevant drug.(80) In the United States, these medications constitute 18% of all prescriptions, which indicates that pharmacogenomically high-risk medications are slightly overrepresented in highly prescribed medications.(81) So far, only 16 of the roughly 19,000 human genes are considered to be clinically actional foe germline pharmacogenetics.(80) Prescription medication are unlikely to be useful in most human germline genetic variation, as well as pharmacogenomics can not be useful enough for improving the prescription of the majority of drugs. However, for the relatively small set of medications where genomics can be actionable, more widely genetic testing and appropriately deploying of it in the clinic could optimize the prescribing.(75)

Some barriers still be the obstacle in wide spreading the use of pre-emptive multigene panels to guide the drugs prescription, such as the lack of incentives for clinicians to conduct tests or implement procedures that might prevent adverse events. There are relatively few studies that prove the cost-effectiveness of pharmacogenetic testing.(82) Although a multigene panel approach is less expensive than ordering tests for one pharmacogene at a time, there are no data to assess the cost-effectiveness of the panel approach when implemented early on in life and used throughout a patient’s lifetime. Another barrier is the fact that financial reimbursement for preventive-medicine services or for pre-emptive screening usually was not provided by most health-care system.(83,84)

As deep sequencing becomes more widespread, further variants will be discovered in pharmacogenes.(85) The challenge will be to catalog and annotate these variants. Given the importance of rare variants for both inherited (86) and cancer-related pharmacogenes, publicly available and easily updatable resources such as PharmGKB, ClinGen and ClinVar will be essential for providing the computational clinical-decision support in health care record systems with up-to-date recommendations that are based on genetic-test results.(87-89) Clinicians are accustomed to making prescribing decisions on the basis of patient characteristics such as age, kidney or liver function, drug-drug interactions and personal preferences. This data should be compiled with optimal clinical-decision support to create a well-organized compilation of one’s characteristics.
matched with evidenced-based choices on medications and doses. Pharmacogenomics was hope to be a component of evidence-based precision medicine, with the improved of clinical-decision support and pharmacogenomic testing continues to grow accelerate with clinical implementation of pharmacogenomics.

Personalized Medicine Toolbox

Next generation technologies (NGT) proposed huge improvements not only in cost efficiency, accuracy, robustness, but also in the amount of information they provide. Unique combination of microarrays together with high-throughput sequencing platforms, digital droplet PCR, and other technologies will offer a more desirable performance. As stronger evidence of genetic testing’s clinical utility influences patterns of patient care, demand for NGT testing is increasing. This will challenge the clinical laboratories to provide NGT-based protocols aligned with the traditional tests, while the urgency, clinical importance, and breadth of application in molecular oncology, as well as more integration of genetic tests into synoptic reporting keep increasing.

The advances in NGT technologies coalesced with the accelerated discovery of the genetic basis of human diseases in parallel feeding the molecular genetic testing to be rapidly expanding, and makes possible to convert cumbersome Sanger-based assays to be a streamlined and less costly, comprehensive targeted gene panels with the application of whole exome sequencing (WES) and whole genome sequencing (WGS) so that molecular diagnosticians could easily examine the known genes responsible for target phenotype(s) and to identify previously unrecognized causes for the heritable disorder for which the test was indicated. Such testing also identifies incidental findings, or off-target sequence alterations unrelated to the reason for testing, that could affect the participant’s health now or in the future. Readily interpretable test reports, however, still not easy to produce due to exome and genome sequencing which increasing the test complexity. In addition, new “meaningful use” components of Medicare and Medicaid EHR Incentive Programs (from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, in 2013) permit patients to directly access results from all clinical laboratory tests, which create a new audience that may struggle to interpret complex genomic reports. With any rapidly evolving technology comes growing pains and caveats. Clinical laboratories that report results from exome or genome sequence data must be able to communicate the outcomes of those efforts effectively.

The first human genome costs $3 billion and took 13 years to sequence; today such an undertaking costs closer to $1,000 and takes only days, making large-scale genetic analysis feasible and affordable. Short- and long-read sequencers all the time is known as an established workhorses in biomedical research, and now their uses are expanding into clinical applications and beyond. Most notably, the combination of high-throughput genotyping with measurements of other markers of health and disease is opening up the area of precision medicine.

Although NGS (Table 1) platforms have become an established tool in the research arena, a highly anticipated area of growth in the research market is the large-scales genotyping of populations. In 2012, UK Prime Minister David Cameron announced a project to sequence the genome up to 100,000 people and use their genomic information in treatment and studies of cancer and rare diseases. This project will be run by Genomics England, a company established in July 2013 by the UK Department of Health, together with Illumina for sequencing and data analysis pipelines instruments and infrastructure provider. They selected four companies in July 2015 to work on interpreting genomic data from the first 8,000 patients participating in the project: WuXi NextCODE for interpreting variants found in individuals with both cancer and rare diseases, Congenica and Omicia for rare-disease interpretation, and NanHealth for oncology. The study will last 3 years; if it is successful, Illumina anticipates that it will lead to an expansion of the effort to sequence a greater proportion of the UK population.

Lots of passionate scientists with their own interest enrich the continuity progress in science with diverse sparks, discoveries, or even disruptive. One interesting disruptive technology is the capillary sequencing, also known as Sanger sequencing. It enabled the initial sequencing of the human genome (55,94) and led to the second Noble prize for the late Dr. Fred Sanger in 1980 (http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/chemistry/laureates/1980/). This technology is simple and elegant, ushered in the dawning of the most successful years in cardiovascular genetics and deciphering the genetic basis of single gene cardiovascular disorders, for example hereditary cardiomyopathies, ion channel disorders, and autosomal-dominant hypercholesterolemia, among others.

Application of the massively parallel sequencing technology to genetic testing at the clinic, however, exposed even bigger challenges of how to interpret the findings.
and apply them to the care of the individual. The primary challenge has been identifying the causal variant(s) among 4 million or so variants in each genome, including >12,000 non-synonymous, and several hundred if not thousand putatively functional and pathogenic variants (100,101). The plethora of the variants in each genome is inherent to the rare error rate of DNA replication machinery, which is estimated as well as empirically shown to be at $1 \times 10^{-8}$ per base (102,103). Accordingly, $\approx$ 30 de novo variants are generated with each meiosis. The explosive growth of human population during the past millennium really presented an enormous challenge in human genetic diversity, as each birth contribute $\approx$ 30 new variants to the population genetic pool (104). Variants with a minor allele frequency of <0.01, known as rare variants, are more common to be found in the population, compare to the common variants (105). NGS systems are typically represented by SOLiD/Ion Torrent PGM from Life Sciences, Genome Analyzer/HiSeq 2000/MiSeq from Illumina, and GS FLX Titanium/GS Junior from Roche. Wide application of this technology makes it possible to aid the achievement of goals to decode life mysteries, make better crops, detect pathogens, and improve life qualities (106).

DNA sequencing has evolved from Maxam-Gilbert and Sanger methods in the 1970s to a set of technologies that are collectively referred to as NGS. (93,107-117) NGS sequences millions of short fragments of DNA in parallel, while the first generation perform just one DNA fragment at a time. Sequencing of DNA as a clinical test became routinely possible only after the automation of Sanger sequencing methods introduced in the mid-1990s, which used capillary gel electrophoresis with fluorescence-based detection (118,119). The throughput of NGS far surpasses that of automated Sanger sequencing. The higher throughput and lower per-base cost of NGS have contributed to its rapid adoption in clinical testing (120), despite the fact that several aspects of NGS analysis have much higher complexity.

The NGS consolidates two processes: the analytic wet bench process and bioinformatics analysis of sequence data. The first component generally includes any or all of the following processes: patient samples handling, nucleic acids extraction, fragmentation, patient samples barcoding (molecular indexing), enrichment of targets for exome or gene panels, adapter ligation, amplification, library preparation, flow cell loading, and generation of sequence reads. Sequence generation is almost entirely automated and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. NGS methods. (92) (Adapted with permission from Nature).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRECISION MEDICINE TOOLBOX</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGS platforms can answer questions related not only to the exome or genome but also the transcriptome and epigenome of any organism. Sequencing methods differ in terms of how samples are obtain and the data analysis involved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WGS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGS detects the 3.2 billion bases of the human genome. The ability to sequence large cohorts is now a reality, and WGS will enable deeper understanding of the regulatory and other features in the human genome, as well as meaningful interpretations of whole genomes. WGS is also important for agriculture and microbial genomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>De novo sequencing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This method refers to sequencing of a novel genome for which there is no available reference sequence for alignment. The quality of the data depends on the size and continuity of the gaps in the data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WES captures only the protein coding part of the genome. Representing less than 2% of the human genome, WES is a cost-effective alternative to WGS. It is used for many applications, including investigating genetic disease, population genetics and cancer studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transcriptome sequencing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This method creates a biological snapshot of expressed genes by capturing RNA and converting it to cDNA before sequencing. RNA sequencing can focus on mRNA, small RNA, noncoding RNA or microRNA, depending on the steps included before cDNA synthesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epigenome sequencing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigenome sequencing investigates heritable changes in gene activity caused by environmental factors, such as DNA methylation and acetylation, DNA-protein interactions, small RNA-mediated regulation and histone modifications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the output consists of millions to billions of short sequence reads. The wet bench workflow is followed by intensive computational and bioinformatics analyses with application of variety of algorithms to map and align the short sequence reads to a linear reference human genome sequence. After mapping and alignment, variant calls are made at locations where nucleotides differ from the reference sequence. Due to the content needed, several separate processes then developed to analyze the clinical relevance of variants, either singly or in combination, related to their contribution to a given clinical phenotype.(121)

The College of American Pathologist (CAP) NGS Work Group approached the analytic wet bench process and the bioinformatics or “dry bench” analyses as 2 discrete processes requiring separate considerations for standards. The principles and guidelines (Supplementary Guidelines) developed by the Next-Generation Sequencing: Standardization of Clinical Testing (Nex-StoCT) workgroup. They represent the initial steps to perform a reliable and useful NGS-based test results related to clinical decision making. There are four components of quality management in clinical environment addressed in this guidelines: test validation, quality control procedures to assure and maintain accurate test results, the independent assessment of test performance through proficiency testing or alternative approaches and reference materials.(122)

The translation of NGS from basic to clinical research and adoption for clinical diagnostics has occurred over a relatively short period of time. A growing number of clinical laboratories are implementing NGS-based diagnostic assays, mostly in the form of multigene panels, although an increasing number of laboratories are performing exome and genome sequencing. CAP identified that the adoption of NGS by clinical laboratories required the development of accreditation requirements specific to NGS. To assist clinical laboratories with the validation of NGS methods and platforms, the ongoing monitoring of NGS testing to ensure quality results, and the interpretation and reporting of variants found using these technologies, the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics has developed the following professional standards and guidelines.(123)

**Bioinformatics**

We are on the verge of the genomic era: doctors and patients will have access to genetic data to customize medical treatment. Consumers can already get 500,000-1,000,000 variant markers analyzed with associated trait information (124), and soon full genome sequencing will cost less than $1,000 (125). One group has performed a complete clinical assessment of a patient using a personal genome (126), and the 1,000 Genomes Project is sequencing 1,000 individuals (63). In the coming years, individual genomic data will inundate the bioinformatics world, and these will set other challenges the bioinformatics community needs to address. In the last decade, molecular science has made many advances to benefit medicine, including the Human Genome project, International HapMap project and genome wide association studies.(64) Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are now recognized as the main cause of human genetic variability and are already a valuable resource for mapping complex genetic traits.(127) Thousands of DNA variants have been identified that are associated with diseases and traits.(124) By combining these genetic associations with phenotypes and drug response, personalized medicine will tailor treatments to the patients’ specific genotype (Figure 6). (40)

Precision medicine associate the detailed, patient-specific molecular information to diagnose and categorize disease, as a treatment guide to improve clinical outcome.(6) In precision medicine, it is assumed that the underlying molecular causes of disease are at least partly specific to each patient, that is, each patient has a unique set of molecular alterations that are responsible for their disease condition. Identifying these molecular alterations

![Figure 6. Personalized medicine](Image)
helps identify the best treatment for each individual, thus effectively tailoring and customizing treatment for each individual. In some diseases, precision medicine relies on molecular biomarkers, that is, molecular events that are correlated with treatment response and clinical outcome but not necessarily causal for the disease.(128)

Currently, almost all precision medicine programs rely on NGS for patient’s DNA sample examination, range from highly focused to specific regions (a few genes are sequenced), to whole-exome (all genes are sequenced) and whole-genome (the entire genome is sequenced). The ability to interrogate a large number of genes is clinically relevant because predicting the efficacy of a growing number of drugs requires knowledge of more than one molecular alteration.(129) A frequently encountered hurdle in the implementation of a precision medicine program is the bioinformatics and informatics component required to support such a program.(130) Indeed, informatics plays a key role in nearly every aspect of a precision medicine program, ranging from physician-oriented clinical interfaces that enable them to order tests and visualize and interpret results for decision support and report generation, to systems for sample tracking and handling, data acquisition, and software for analyzing the genomic assays including identification and annotation of variants.(129)

Bioinformatics is often defined as the application of computational techniques to understand and organize the information represents biological macromolecules. This unexpected union between the two subjects is largely attributed to the fact that life itself is an information technology; an organism’s physiology is largely determined by its genes, which at its most basic can be viewed as digital information. At the same time, there have been major advances in the technologies that supply the initial data.(131) The aims of bioinformatics are three-fold. First, at its simplest one, bioinformatics organizes data in a way that researchers can easily access existing information or submit new data entries, e.g., the Protein Data Bank for 3D macromolecular structures.(132,133) While data-curation is an essential task, the information stored in these databases is essentially useless until analyzed. Thus the purpose of bioinformatics extends much further. The second aim is developing tools and resources to perform faster data analysis. For example, sequencing a particular protein, or compare it with previously characterized sequences. This needs more than just a simple text-based search and programs such as FASTA format (134) and Position-Specific Iterative Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (PSI-BLAST) (135) to consider what comprises a biologically significant match. Development of such resources required expertise in computational theory as well as a thorough understanding of biology. The third aim of these tools is to analyze the data and interpret the results in a biologically meaningful manner. Traditional biological studies examined individual systems in detail, then oftenly compared them with a few that are related. In bioinformatics, we now can perform a global analysis of all the available data and uncover common principles that apply across many systems and highlight novel features.(131)

It may now cost less to sequence the three billion DNA base pairs of a human genome than to do a brain scan. But how to translate all that genomic data into treatment? The resulting era of “precision medicines” is already delivering treatments tailored to individual needs. These ‘big data’ efforts face huge challenges, from creating analytic tools and solving scientific puzzles to accessing millions of gigabytes of data and overcoming barriers to accessing patients’ health records.(136) Further advances in bioinformatics combined with experimental genomics for individuals are predicted to revolutionize the future of health care.

The completion of the first human genome sequence in 2003 created much anticipation and promise among scientists, health care providers, media, and the public.(137) However, this did not result immediately in tangible changes in standard medical care. While the media continued to anticipate and the public keep waiting, genomic research progressed. Over the last few years, impressive strides have been made to this effect. In recent years, emerging evidence suggests a rapidly growing expectation to incorporate genomic medicine into individualized patient care.(138) The promise of genomic medicine, as one part of individualized care, is to enable medical practitioners to make better clinical decisions through an improved informed process. The anticipated results are to improve targeted therapies, reduce side-effects, increase prevention and prediction of disease, enable earlier disease intervention, reduce healthcare costs and improve patient outcomes.(139) A human ‘cancer genome’, or oncogenome, is a residential for numerous chromosomes, chromatin (the fibers that constitute the chromosomes) and nucleotides alterations. These include irreversible aberrations in the sequence or structures of DNA, genes or chromosomes (that is, the copy number of the DNA). They could also include potentially
reversible changes, known as epigenetic modifications to the DNA and/or to the histone proteins, which are closely associated with the DNA in chromatin. These reversible and irreversible changes affect hundreds to thousands of genes and/or regulatory transcripts. Collectively, they result in the activation or inhibition of various biological events, thereby causing aspects of cancer pathophysiology, including angiogenesis, immune evasion, metastasis, and altered cell growth, death and metabolism.\(^{(140,141)}\) The baseline information about frequent genomic alterations in cancer generated in the research setting by sequencing the DNA of thousands of tumors is now being coupled with NGS-based methods that rapidly generate the mutational profile of a cancer genome in the clinical setting to inform genome-guided cancer medicine.\(^{(142)}\)

Insights into the molecular pathology of disease are creating opportunities for the development of therapies with durable clinical benefit while challenging existing model of therapeutic development and clinical care.\(^{(141,143,144)}\) Large international consortia, such as the ICGC \(^{(145,146)}\), are mapping the genomes of thousands of cancers to identify opportunities for prevention, early detection and treatment \(^{(147)}\). Although genomics is leading the way, high-throughput proteomics and metabolomics are following closely behind.\(^{(148)}\) These methodological advances have ushered in a target specific molecular processes new era of therapeutics. Though there have been some successes, the overall strategy remains in its infancy.\(^{(149-159)}\) The central premise of precision medicine is that matching a drug and its mechanism of action using a marker to select patients, a process often referred to as matching the right drug to the right patient, can offer greater potential for durable clinical benefits.\(^{(160)}\)

Metabolomics allows for a global assessment of a cellular state within the context of the immediate environment, taking into account genetic regulation, altered kinetic activity of enzymes, and changes in metabolic reactions.\(^{(161-163)}\) Thus, compared with genomics or proteomics, metabolomics reflects changes in phenotype and therefore function. The omic sciences are, however, complementary as “upstream” changes in genes and proteins are measured “downstream” as changes in cellular metabolism.\(^{(161,164)}\) Other features of metabolomics are similar to those of proteomics and transcriptomics, including the ability to assay biofluids or tumor samples and the relatively inexpensive, rapid, and automated techniques once start-up costs are taken into account.\(^{(165)}\)

Personalized approaches reach the full spectrum of cancer care. Personalized risk assessment can provide patients identification at greatest risk of developing specific cancers, so they can be offered more comprehensive screening and prevention strategies, which will lead to fewer cases of invasive cancer, earlier diagnoses, and improved outcomes.\(^{(166)}\) Personalized medicine has potential to change the standard of care for cardiovascular diseases. Although there is only a few examples of personalized cardiovascular medicine based on molecular profiling exist to date, while other methods have been used. Certainly, normalization of drug exposure across different subsets of individuals is one form of personalized medicine that is well established. The development of personalized medicine strategies based on genetic or physiological biomarkers for cardiovascular diseases such as atherosclerosis, heart failure and hypertension is challenging because of the multifactorial etiology of these diseases.\(^{(4)}\)

Cardiovascular diseases originate from the confluence of many different factors. Genetic factor plays only a weak effect on the process taken as a whole, but it may substantially influence one of the known underlying pathways. For example, genetic effects on lipid biomarkers may often be more readily detected than their effect on myocardial infarction.\(^{(101)}\) Genetic linkage analysis in large families, which led to deciphering the molecular genetic basis of single gene disorders, such as hereditary cardiomyopathies and ion channel disorders \(^{(95,97,168,169)}\), continues to offer a robust platform for identification of the causal genes for single gene disorders. Signal-transducing adaptor protein 1 (STAP1), encoding signal transducing adaptor family member 1, was mapped recently as a novel gene for autosomal dominant familial hypercholesterolemia through linkage analysis.\(^{(169)}\) Further characterization of the locus after exome sequencing and showing evidence of enrichment of STAP1 variants in an independent cohort with familial hypercholesterolemia supported the causal role of STAP1 in autosomal dominant familial hypercholesterolemia. Thus, STAP1 joins the previously identified low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR), apolipoprotein B (APOB), and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) genes, as the fourth causal gene associated with this rare single gene disorder.\(^{(98,170,171)}\) The genetic cardiomyopathies present a window to cardiac pathophysiology when discrete cellular pathways are disrupted. Over the past decades, the role of numerous proteins in triggering cardiomyopathy and hence HF has finally become clear. Despite the genetic complexity, direct application of genetic testing is now a mainstay in managing affected families, and scientifically and clinically useful themes are emerging that should lead to improved treatment.\(^{(95)}\)
Investigations of rare monogenic disorders of heart rhythm has elucidated the fundamental molecular and genetic mechanisms of sickle cell disease. After identification of more than 25 causal genes, there remain many subjects with inherited arrhythmia susceptibility but do not have mutations, this suggests that there is still other genes left unidentified. Newer strategies such as exome and WGS may be valuable to uncover additional molecular etiologies. Efforts to understand mechanisms responsible for incomplete penetrance, including identification of modifier genes, will also contribute to deciphering the complex relationships between genotype and phenotype.(97)

In diabetes, personalized medicine refers to utilize the patients specific characters for most effective diagnostic or treatment strategies. These include individual behavioral and phenotypic features, standard clinical laboratory findings, and gene sequences and other molecular markers.(172) Diabetes mellitus has long been recognized to be a complex, heterogeneous disorder, especially in type 2 diabetes patients with substantial variability in genetic risk factors, underlying pathogenic mechanisms, and clinical features. Therefore it represents a human disease that gains a substantial benefit from personalized approaches to treatment. Nevertheless, patients with type 2 diabetes often are treated similarly, with little consideration of individual characteristics that might affect clinical outcome and therapeutic response.(173) Both type 1 and type 2 diabetes are thought to be complex diseases, which means they need the interplay of numerous susceptibility and protective genes, acting in concert with negative and positive environmental factors to be developed.(174)

Type 2 diabetes typically is characterized by a combination of abnormalities in both insulin secretion and responsiveness, plus a more gradual and less extensive loss of β-cell secretory capacity than occurs in type 1 diabetes. For this reason, a spectrum of pharmacologic agents with actions that include augmentation of insulin sensitivity, stimulation of insulin secretion, and slowing of intestinal glucose absorption. Should be the available options for glycemic management in type 2 diabetes and not only exogenous insulin.(173,174) The application of systems biology methods to complex diseases such as diabetes mellitus is now being explored as a strategy for amplifying insights into pathophysiology and disease management by integrating the expanding amount of molecular data.(175,176) It is likely that personalized medicine in more common forms of diabetes can have substantial benefit by similarly using individual patient characteristics to define a preferred sequence of options in treatment rather than one specific therapy.(172) New technology in human genetics transformation become the single best hope to innovate and improve clinical success rates in drug development.

## Conclusion

Technologies for monitoring individuals’ health are becoming increasingly available, especially with consumer electronic devices moving into health measurements. The devices currently measure mostly vital signs, but it is inevitable they will move into blood tests and portable imaging in the future. The real ambition of personalized medicine said Goldstein, “is in transforming the way we develop new medicines.” He also believes that “other technological drivers will be in genome editing and stem cell biology, since they together create a clear pathway for in vitro models of many human diseases.” It is this deep appreciation for the unique genetic and phenotypic characteristics of an individual that is elegantly depicted by Sir William Osler famous quote: The good physician treats the disease, the great physician treats the patient who has the disease.
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